Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/756,673	YOTSUMOTO, КОЈІ
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Harry Vartanian	2634
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Harry Vartanian</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jeffrey D. Karceski</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 March 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 9, 12		
Claims discussed: 9, 12, 15-22, 28, 32, 35-39, and 44		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Incorporating the matter of Claims 16, 20, and 37 into all of the in Writing Claim 22 and 39 in independent form. Correct formal mat	dependent Claims in order to ma	
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Everyiner/SDE Standium) (Analisand)	Applicant's Representative Signature	anatura — if annranciata)
(Examiner/SPE algnature) (Applicant/	zhhilicarii a kehresetitative 21	gnature – ir appropriate)